BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

15 JUNE 2009

PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

IMPROVEMENT PLAN EXCEPTION REPORT [APRIL 2009]

Responsible Portfolio Holder	Cllr. Roger Hollingworth, Portfolio
	Holder for the Improvement Plan
Responsible Officer	Hugh Bennett
	Assistant Chief Executive

1. SUMMARY

1.1 To ask the Board to consider the Improvement Plan Exception Report for April 2009 (Appendix 1).

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

- 2.1 That the Board considers and approves the revisions to the Improvement Plan Exception Report attached as Appendix 1, and the corrective action being taken.
- 2.2 That the Board notes that for the 93 actions highlighted for April within the plan 76.3% of the Improvement Plan is on target [green], 5.4% is one month behind [amber] and 3.2% is over one month behind [red]. The actions that have been reprogrammed with approval reflect the reprogramming of the town centre actions (as a result of Parkside & Network Rail) and the re-programming of HR actions.
- 2.3 This month's performance is shown on the first page of Appendix 1.

3 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 July 2008 Cabinet approved the Improvement Plan 2008/09. The Improvement Plan is directly linked to the five corporate priorities and thirteen enablers identified in the Council Plan 2008/2011.
- The Improvement Plan was designed to push the Council through to a rating of Fair during 2008.
- 3.3 There were five amber and three red activities this month for the following areas of the Improvement Plan:-

¹ NB reprogrammed actions are those that have been suspended completely and those that have been moved to a later point in the year. Extended actions are listed separately are actions that are anticipated to take longer than had originally been programmed

Ref.	Council Plan Balanced Scorecard Reference	Number
CP1	Town Centre	1
CP4	Sense of Community	2
FP1	Value for Money	1
FP3	Financial Strategy	2
PR5	Planning	1
HR & OD 2	Modernisation	1

3.4 The re-programmed actions i.e. those that have been suspended or moved to a much later date in the Plan are:-

	Ref.	Action	Reason
1	1.2.2	Market Hall redevelopment	Suspended. Credit Crunch
2	1.4.2, 1.4.3, 1.4.4, 1.4.5, 1.4.6, 1.4.7	Market Hall negotiations.	Suspended. Credit Crunch.
3	2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.5	Affordable housing SPD.	Suspended. RSS2.
4	3.3.1	Service data monitoring	Suspended. Expected to be introduced Spring 2009
5	4.1.5, 4.1.13	Consultation for LSP neighbourhoods.	Re-programmed. Different approach agreed for 2009/2010.
6	4.3.11, 4.3.12, 4.3.14, 4.3.15	Review of promotional materials / Artrix	Suspended. Budget constraints / SLA delays
7	14.3.5	Submission Core Strategy	Reprogrammed. Delays with RSS review.
8	15.2.4	Review and consult on the "Bromsgrove Way".	Suspended. Existing approach acceptable. Other HR&OD priorities.
9	15.3.1, 15.3.2, 15.3.3	"Bromsgrove Way" and competency framework for non-managers.	See above.
10	16.1.9	Gap analysis	Suspended. Pending shared service business case.
11	16.3.3	Review of harassment and bullying policy	Suspended. Pending JE implementation
12	16.4.5	Parental rights guide	Re-programmed. Pending JE implementation
13	18.1.2, 18.1.3, 18.1.4	PDR process review.	Suspended. Pending JE implementation
14	18.3.1, 18.3.2, 18.3.3, 18.3.4, 18.3.5	Core competencies for all staff.	Suspended. Pending JE implementation

4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 No financial implications.

5. **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

5.1 No legal implications.

6. COUNCIL OBJECTIVES

6.1 The Improvement Plan relates to all of the Council's four objectives and five priorities as per the 2008/2011 Council Plan.

7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1.1 The risks associated with the Improvement Plan are covered in the CCPP departmental risk register. Specific corporate risks are related to the Improvement Plan in the following ways:

Corporate Risk Title	Improvement Plan Reference
KO1: Effective Financial Management	FP2 – Financial Management
and Internal Control	FP3 – Financial Strategy
KO2: Effective corporate leadership	FP1 – Value for Money
	FP2 – Financial Management
	FP3 – Financial Strategy
	FP4 – Financial and Performance
	Reporting
1600 Egg 1: 14 1 1 1 000	PR2 –Improved Governance
KO3: Effective Member / Officer	PR2 –Improved Governance
relations	HROD1 – Learning and
	Development
KO4: Effective Member / Member	PR2 –Improved Governance
relations	HROD1 – Learning and
	Development
KO5*: Full compliance with the Civil	PR1 – Customer Process
Contingencies Act and effective	
Business Continuity	
KO6: Maximising the benefits of	PR3 – Spatial Business Project
investment in ICT equipment and	
training	DD4 Improved Dartnership
KO7: Effective partnership working	PR4 – Improved Partnership Working
KO8: Effective communications	PR1 – Customer Process
(internal and external)	FP4 – Financial and Performance
(internal and external)	Reporting
	HROD 4– Performance Culture
KO9: Equalities and diversity agenda	CP3 – Customer Service
embedded across the Authority	CP4 – Sense of Community
KO10: Appropriate investment in	HROD1 – Learning and
employee development and training	Development
	HROD2 – Modernisation
	HROD4 – Performance Culture
KO11: Effective employee recruitment	HROD2 – Modernisation
and retention	
KO12: Full compliance with all Health	FP3 – Financial Strategy
and Safety legislation	PR1 – Customer Process

	HROD2 – Modernisation
KO13: Effective two tier working and	CP4 – Sense of Community
Community Engagement	PR4 – Improved Partnership
	Working
KO14: Successful implementation of	HROD2 - Modernisation
Job Evaluation	
KO15: All Council data is accurate and	FP2 – Financial Management
of high quality	FP4 – Financial and Performance
	Reporting
	PR3 – Spatial Business Project
	HROD4 – Performance culture
KO16: The Council no longer in	FP1 – Value for Money
recovery	FP4 – Financial and Performance
	Reporting
KO17: Effective Projects Management	FP1 – Value for Money
	PR3 – Spatial Business Project
KO19: Effective Business and	FP4 – Financial and Performance
Performance Management	Reporting
KO20: Effective Customer Focused	CP3 – Customer Service
Authority	CP4 – Sense of Community
	PR1 – Customer Process

^{*} KO5 and KO18 have been merged

8. **CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS**

8.1 The Improvement Plan is concerned with the strategic and operational issues that will affect the customer.

9. **EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS**

9.1 Please see sections CP3 and CP4 of the Improvement Plan

10. VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 See section FP1 of the Improvement Plan

11. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Procurement Issues: See Section FP1 of the Improvement Plan.
Personnel Implications: See Sections HROD1-HROD4 of the
Improvement Plan.
Governance/Performance Management: See Sections FP4 and PR2
of the Improvement Plan.
Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime and Disorder Act
1998: See section CP4 of the Improvement Plan
Policy: All sections of the Improvement Plan relate to this.
Environmental: See sections CP1 and PR5 of the Improvement Plan.

12. OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT

Portfolio Holder	No
Chief Executive	At CMT
Executive Director (Partnerships and Projects)	At CMT
Executive Director (Services)	At CMT
Assistant Chief Executive	Yes
Head of Service	At CMT
Head of Financial Services	At CMT
Head of Legal & Democratic Services	At CMT
Head of Organisational Development & HR	At CMT
Corporate Procurement Team	No

13. WARDS AFFECTED

13.1 All wards

14. APPENDICES

14.1 Appendix 1 Improvement Plan Exception Report April 2009

15. BACKGROUND PAPERS:

15.1 The full Improvement Plan for April can be found at www.bromsgrove.gov.uk under meetings Minutes and Agendas. A hard copy is also left in the Members' Room each month.

CONTACT OFFICER

Name: Rebecca Dunne

E Mail: r.dunne@bromsgrove.gov.uk

Tel: (01527) 881616

Appendix 1

PROGRESS IN 2008/09

Overall performance as at the end of April 2009, in comparison with the previous year, is as follows: -

J	July 2007			August 2007			September 2007			October 2007			November 2007			December 2007		
RED	1	0.6%	RED	1	0.7%	RED	4	2.4%	RED	3	1.8%	RED	5	3.1%	RED	3	2.0%	
AMBER	5	3.2%	AMBER	13	9.2%	AMBER	11	6.6%	AMBER	16	9.6%	AMBER	11	7.0%	AMBER	17	11.6%	
GREEN	152	95.6%	GREEN	126	88.7%	GREEN	149	89.2%	GREEN	142	85.0%	GREEN	138	86.9%	GREEN	121	82.3%	
REPRO	1	0.6%	REPRO	2	1.4%	REPRO	3	1.8%	REPRO	6	3.6%	REPRO	5	3.1%	REPRO	6	4.1%	

Jai	nuary 2	800	Feb	ruary 2	800	Ma	arch 20	08	A	pril 200	08	M	lay 200	8	Jι	ıne 200	08
RED	2	1.4%	RED	2	1.4%	RED	2	1.5%	RED	3	2.7%	RED	8	7.55%	RED	6	6.3%
AMBER	16	11.4%	AMBER	10	7.3%	AMBER	10	7.4%	AMBER	11	9.9%	AMBER	4	3.8%	AMBER	4	4.2%
GREEN	118	84.3%	GREEN	122	88.4%	GREEN	117	86.7%	GREEN	92	82.9%	GREEN	86	81.1%	GREEN	74	77.0%
REPRO	4	2.9%	REPRO	4	2.9%	REPRO	6	4.4%	REPRO	5	4.5%	REPRO	8	7.55%	REPRO	12	12.5%

J	July 2008 August 2			gust 2	800	Sept	ember	2008	October 2008			November 2008			December 2008		
RED	11	8.6%	RED	17	14.4%	RED	16	11.9%	RED	15	10.6%	RED	12	8.7%	RED	13	9.9%
AMBER	3	2.3%	AMBER	4	3.4%	AMBER	8	6.0%	AMBER	7	5.0%	AMBER	8	5.8%	AMBER	5	3.9%
GREEN	114	89.1%	GREEN	96	81.4%	GREEN	99	73.9%	GREEN	104	73.8%	GREEN	106	76.8%	GREEN	100	76.3%
REPRO	0	0%	REPRO	1	0.8%	REPRO*	11	8.2%	REPRO	15	10.6%	REPRO	12	8.7%	REPRO	13	9.9%

Jar	January 2009		February 2009			March 2009			April 2009			May 2009			June 2009		
RED	0	0%	RED	2	1.5%	RED	3	2.9%	RED	3	3.2%	RED			RED		
AMBER	4	3.6%	AMBER	3	2.3%	AMBER	5	4.9%	AMBER	5	5.4%	AMBER			AMBER		
GREEN	95	86.4%	GREEN	112	86.2%	GREEN	80	78.5%	GREEN	71	76.3%	GREEN			GREEN		
REPRO	11	10.0%	REPRO	13	10.0%	REPRO	14	13.7%	REPRO	14	15.1%	REPRO			REPRO		

Appendix 1

Where: -

On Target or	One month	Over one	Original date	Re- programmed date.*
completed	behind target	month	of planned	programmed
	or less	behind target	action	date.*

^{*} NB. Reprogrammed actions are both those that have been suspended completely and those that have been moved to a later point in the year. They are not actions that have been extended and they do not appear on the exception report.

Out of the total of 93 actions for April 2009, 5 actions have been extended with approval. This amounts to 5.4 percent of the original actions scheduled for this month. Extended actions are shown with hatched marking and extend the timescale of a current or ongoing action on the Improvement Plan.

An Exception Report detailing corrective actions follows:

Ref	April 2009 Action		Col	our	Со	rrecti	ive A	ction)						Who	Original Date	Revised Date		
1.7.3	Obtain planning permission.				deve not b Sepa back	vork Ra elopme be poss arate Ca their ca elopme	ent. Qo sible. QC adv desire	C advi Meeti /ice no	ce has ng helo ow bei	been with ng sou	•	НВ	Apr 09	TBC					
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective Action			
41.7	Agree funding and plan	nning pe	rmis	sion	for t	rain s	statio	n rec	devel	opm	ent, v	vith 1	trans	port	links to	the town cei	ntre		
1.7.3	Obtain planning permission.	НВ													has subn Advice to Formal a Secretary July 2009 programme into the 2 this fundi Network Scheme. Network permitted been pro Network possible. Rail. Sel sought by	2009/2010 planing approval ex Rail also need to This is expected Rail require the didevelopment. vided to the Co Rail) that this mandled we parate QC advices y Network Rail to treat the schen	nal Funding nt of Transport. required by the s expected in in the existing re-programmed on the basis of pected in July. to approve the ed in May, but scheme to be a QC advice has uncil (and onto nay not be with Network ce now being		

Ref	April 2009 Action	on	Col	our	Со	rrect	ive A	ction	1						Who	Original Date	Revised Date		
4.1.1	Go live					tings p					Charfo et.	ord Me	mbers	in	НВ	Apr 09	TBC		
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective /	Action		
4.1	Neighbourhood	d management																	
4.1.10	Go live HB														Charford	planned with H Members in Ju uly Cabinet.			

Ref	April 2009 Action	Colour Corrective Action							Who	Original Date	Revised Date						
4.3.1	Establish monitoring & me arrangements set out in the with the Artrix.				by t	rk in th he Op asing i	eratin	g Tru	st of t	he Ar	trix ov	er so	me of	the	JG	Jul-08	Jul-09
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective	Action
4.3	Popularity of events programr			nme													
4.3.13	Establish monitoring & meeting arrangements set out in the SLA with the Artrix.	JG	_												operating proposed servised Scomplete to identification meeting to agreed discussion SLA to be	g trust rejected d by BDC and he BLA. Officers had the review of y discrepancy a with the portfoliour position prion on this matter	ave proposed a ave now the documents

FP1:	Value for Money																		
Ref	April 2009 Action	our	Со	rrect	ive A	ction	1						Who	Original Date	Revised Date				
6.2.6	effectiveness of the alternative methods of service delivery e	Quarterly report to PMB to assess the effectiveness of the alternative methods of service delivery e.gtransfer to leisure trust, payroll service provision			be p	repare	d whe	n serv	ice ha	s run i	oort on for 12 elivere	month	s (Sep		JLP	Apr-09	Sep-09		
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective Action			
6.2	Alternative methods o	fservice	deli	very,	to in	clud	e rev	isitin	g the	sha	red s	ervic	es/ j	oint v	working	agenda			
6.2.6	Quarterly report to PMB to assess the effectiveness of the alternative methods of service delivery e.g transfer to leisure trust, payroll service provision	JLP								Due to delays in payroll transform on effectiveness to be prepare service has run for 12 months Leisure trust no longer to be by trust. This action therefore be suspended and re-program new improvement plan.					orepared when nonths (Sept 09). to be delivered erefore needs to rogrammed to the				

Ref	April 2009 Action		Col	Colour Corrective Action											Who	Original Date	Revised Date
8.1.4	Market test treasury advisors to ensure VFM achieved				prov	iders a	and the	e curre	ent ecc	nomic	: clima	curren te of ir	vestn	nents	JLP	Apr-09	M ay-09
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		ction	
8.1	Treasury Managemer		ı		ı	1	1										
8.1.4	Market test treasury advisors to ensure VFM achieved													current s economi- been agr to April 1 to be sus	ervice providers c climate of inver- eed to defer the 0. This action	ort received from s and the currer estments it has a market testing therefore needs programmed to lan.	

Ref	Financial Strateg April 2009 Action	,	Col	our	Co	rrecti	ive A	ction	1			Who	Original Date	Revised Date					
8.2.3	Commitment accounting reports available for budget holders				end	repor and ir	nclude	ed in f			/ear	JLP	Sep-08	Jun-09					
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective Action			
8.2	Implement the Purchas	se Ordei	ring F	Proce	essin	g Sys	stem												
	l .															ue to delays in final implementation ports for commitments will be in uryear end (April 09) and will be cluded in 1 st quarter monitoring atements (end June 2009).			

PR5	: Planning																		
Ref	April 2009 Action		Col	our	Cor	recti	ve A	ction			Who	Original Date	Revised Date						
14.5. 1	Maintain training programme weeks	every 12				ruitme ble thi					r to thi d.	is role	e will		DH	Dec 08	June 09		
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.			Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June	Corrective Action				
14.5	Maintain Greenbelt thr	ough en	force	emen	t and	l uph	eld a	ppea	als										
14.5.1	Maintain training programme every 12 weeks	DH													Recruitm role will e – Intervie	nent of Principal enable this area ews have taken	to be addressed		

Ref	April 2009 Action		Col	our	Cor	recti	ve Ad	tion							Who	Original Date	Revised Date
16.1. 5	Workforce skills audit				exte infor appi	originernal a rmatio roach, conte	dvice n. W whic) has e are h nee	not pr curre ds ca	oduce ntly re reful o	ed the e-think consid	requaling of	ired ur on with	nin	HP	Mar 09	TBC
Ref.	Action	Lead	July	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	Мау	June		Corrective A	Action
16.1	Workforce Planning	•		1	1		1					1	•	1			
16.1.5	Workforce skills audit	HP													Currently	re-thinking app	proach